Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # <u>5497</u> Case file # <u>95-300004</u>.

Material Examiner: <u>Malone (RO)</u>

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial, transcript available.

ndependent Review conducted	l by: Steve Rob	ertson				
Area(s) of Expertise: Hair a	nd Fiber		•			•
Review commenced at: 9:30	AM (Time),	03/14/200)1 (Date)	· · · ·		
	· · · · ·		· ·	• •	· .	
aboratory #(s): 10621037				•		
			·	, ··· ··		
	•		•		······	
			· · ·	•	<u></u>	
· ·	Examin	ner(s) & Symb	0018	•		
Revie	wed Not Review	ved.		Reviewed	Not Reviewed	
RQ. X	· · · ·	• •	······································			4 +
D	_			– •	' D .	
	<u>+</u>		,	р.	·	_
C	<u>+</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ې . م		,
	— i Mate	erials Reviewe	ed	ې ــــــ	·	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s):	— i Mate		ed 236-265	۳ 	·	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s):	 Mate Michael Mat	lone	• • •	۳ 	·	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s):	 Mate Michael Mal 3/9/92	lone	236-265		, · · · □ .	
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): .Laboratory Number:	 Mate Michael Mat	lone	• • •	Qct 29, 1991	, · · · □ .	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s):	 Mate Michael Mal 3/9/92	lone	236-265 Date:		, · · · □ .	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number:	Mate Michael Mate 3/9/92 10621037	lone	236-265 Date: Date:		, · · · □ .	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of:	 Mate <u>Michael Mat</u> 3/9/92 10621037 	lone	236-265 Date: Date:		, · · · □ .	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of:	Mate Michael Mate 3/9/92 10621037	lone	236-265 Date: Date:		, · · · □ .	,
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of:	 Mate <u>Michael Mat</u> 3/9/92 10621037 	lone	236-265 Date: Date:		, · · · □ .	
Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Testimony Date(s): aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of:	 Mate <u>Michael Mat</u> 3/9/92 10621037 	lone Pages:	236-265 Date: Date:		, · · · □ .	,

					·		· ·
If yes, pl	lease identify a	nd/or describe	e the material:	Submitting ager	ncy letter ((dated 5-1	.9-91)
					<u>.</u>		·····
		•-			~		······································
		•	· · ·			•	
·.		•	Deculto	of Review			
•	• •		Results	·			
File #:	95-300004		Item or Spec	imen # Review	ed: Q1	-Q10, Q1	6, K3, K4, K7, K8
		· · ·	· .		•		
						*	
	·		of Laboratory R				
.•	add	Note: Nur litional pages	mbered comments for any "No" or '	are required Unable to Det	below or ermine"	on Response	s
	ļ			•			···· · · ·
1) I	Did the examin	ner perform the	e appropriate tests	in a scientifical	ly accepta	ble mann	er, based on the
n	nethods, protoc	cols, and analy	tic techniques ava/tic techniques ava/	ilable at the tin Kes X□No	ne of the Una	original e ble to Det	examination(s)?
••••••						ممادم ادسم ا	mataly dominanted in
				ratory report(s) Yes X□No	supported Una	l and adec ble to De	quately documented in termine
	Are the examin he bench notes			ratory report(s) Yes X□No	supported Una	l and adec ble to De	quately documented in termine
			·, ·	ratory report(s) Yes X□No f Testimony:	supported Una	l and adec ble to De	quately documented in termine
	he bench notes	?. Note: Nu	Review of	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required	D Una	ble to De	
	he bench notes	?. Note: Nu	·, ·	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required	D Una	ble to De	
t	he bench notes	?. Note: Nu litional pages	Review of	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required	D Una	ble to De	
t	he bench notes	?. Note: Nu litional pages	Review of	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required	□ Una below or termine"	ble to De on Respons	es
ti — Transcr	he bench notes add	? Note: Nu litional pages le.	Review of	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	ble to De	
□ Transci 3) Testin	he bench notes add	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)?	Yes X□No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	ble to De on Respons	es
 Transci Testin Testin 	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X:□ No	es Unable to Determin
 Transci Testin Testin 	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory report(s)? ch-notes?	Yes X \square No Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or oratory report(s)? ch notes? iner's expertise? Page 2	Yes X \square No f Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin
□ Transcr 3) Testin 4) Testin	he bench notes add ript not availab nony consisten nony consisten	? Note: Nu litional pages de. t with the labo t with the benc	Review of mbered comment s for any "No" or pratory/report(s)? ch-notes? iner's expertise?	Yes X \square No f Testimony: s are required "Unable to De	□ Una below or termine"	on Respons X □ No X □ No	es Unable to Determin Unable to Determin

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-300004

#1: The examiner had test procedures and instruments available at the time of examination that he could bave used. By performing melting point or infrared analysis, it could have been determined if all the fibers were of the same type of polymer. This cannot be determined by the testing he performed, as he has admitted in testimony in other cases.

Malone discusses the tremendous variability in the cross-sectional shape of trilobal fibers and testifies there was absolutely no variation between the fibers recovered from the victim's clothing and the suspect's carpet fibers. However, there is no documentation that cross-sections were made from the fibers. One cannot always determine if two fibers have the same cross-sectional shape from a longitudinal view as was performed in this case.

 Review completed at:
 11:30 AM
 (Time),
 03/14/2001
 (Date)

 Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):
 2:00 hours

I hereby-certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of _____5____ pages.

in Koburs

03/14/2001

(Signature)

(Date)

Page Initials:

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-300004

#2: This case file contains more documentation concerning the fiber analysis than most of the other Malone cases reviewed. However, the documentation, while better, is marginal. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil. It is not known what "ftfvtc" means in the fiber examination notes.

Malone's notes indicate "beige trilobal nylon" fibers were recovered from the victim's pants, panties, bra, socks and the paper bag which do not match the suspect's beige carpet. The presence of these beige fibers is not in the laboratory report.

#3: The laboratory report states the fibers recovered from the victim's clothing exhibit the same individual microscopic characteristics as the suspect's carpet and are consistent with having originated from that carpet. The testimony is much stronger than the report because Malone adds that, for the fibers to have come from some other source, it would have to be another carpet sample with exactly the same characteristics and, "if that other source even existed", it would also have had to be in contact with the victim. As carpet is mass produced, to state that other carpet with the same characteristics as the suspect's carpet may not exist is erroneous and implausible.

#4: The testimony is not consistent with the bench notes. Malone discusses the tremendous variability in the cross-sectional shape of trilobal fibers and testifies there was absolutely no variation between the fibers recovered from the victim's clothing and the suspect's carpet fibers. However, there is no documentation that cross-sections were made and compared.

#5: Malone testifies that "no other type of fiber I know, except carpet fibers, are made in this trilobal shape". Fibers having a trilobal shape can be found in a variety of items besides carpet.

Page Initials:

· -	Additional Comments	ŀ
	(Set forth by question #, if applicable)	ŀ
	File #: \ 95-300004	
	#5 (continued): Testimony that the microspectrophotometer can tell if the fibers have the same dye is technically	
		·
	incorrect. The microspectrophotometer is used to measure and compare color, it cannot identify a dye. See	
	Journal of Forensic Sciences, Vol 33, No 6, Nov 1988, pp 1332-1344 and Vol 35, No 2, March 1990, pp 301-	
	315.	
· ·	The comment in #3 also applies to #5.	
. '		
. '		
•		
.		
· ·		
•		
		· '
		ļ
		ļ
1		·
ŀ		
ľ.		
.		
	Page 5 of 5	
	Initials: Stuc	
	Initials: <u>Shi</u> C	

۰,

į